

PMWG April 28, 2022 - Meeting Notes
1:00pm-2:40pm Remote (Zoom)

TOPIC: Welcome & Introductions

TOPIC: CoC Updates and Community Plan

TOPIC: CoC Updates - Upcoming Meetings

TOPIC: Recap January

- a. Questions - referring to the CommunityHotline Shelter - what emergency shelter would you be referring to
- b. Leila - What percentage of the shelters are CoC funded
- c. Maria - Feels her clients are not being placed and hopes the funding aspect is not coming into play and why clients are not being placed
- d. Laura - Understanding that is pulling from an ES in HMIS that may or may not be funded by the CoC - and I thought anything entered was being pulled regardless if it was CoC funded - it's more like participating vs. CoC funded.
- e. Hong - OSH does manage the contract for the Hotline and can check with Michelle; depending on time/year/ we understand the Hotline is busy but why you are not getting through we do not know - but can definitely let them know. Per Maria they are not getting call backs.

TOPIC: March Recap

- f. Questions - None

TOPIC: Performance Measures to be set today

- g. 6, 7 and 8

TOPIC: Employment and Income Growth

- h. Maria -What is TH - Tiny Homes?
- i. Laura - curious to see who else makes up these clients in this section - nice to see which of these programs have active clients and are contributing to the numbers.
- j. Leavers who increased non-employment income
 - i. Laura - if you have a single individual and have a job you would not qualify for this -setting a benchmark seems counterproductive. Other point - stayers who increased income in the past and does not understand the -2%. These stayers must be pulling from Annual Assessments and any assessments. Not opposed to the 29% , but for the leavers 16, 9 and 25. Questions the 4% and that's it
- k. Do we need to come up with another benchmark? Relaunching Poll.
 - i. Laura - if deciding on data and data states 0 then we should go with 2%
 - ii. Stayers who increased non-employment income 0%
 1. Keep benchmarks as listed and 0%

2. Leila - how did we get these recommended benchmarks?
 - a. Angie (BF) reviewed trends and selected between the previous benchmark, 3 year rate of change, or 2 year rate of change.

TOPIC: Housed Clients Covered By Health Insurance

- l. Questions- Are clients asked questions after they are placed or before
- m. FIX the slide it has the wrong date
- n. RRH Confirmed as recommended
- o. PSH Confirmed as recommended

TOPIC: Successful Exit from St. Outreach

- p. Questions: what is folded into Temporary
- q. Confirmed as recommended 40%

TOPIC: Excites to PSH

- r. ES 36% change to 32%
 - i. Steven - inflated probably b/c of COVID
- s. TH 45%
- t. RRH 83%
- u. CoC System 43%

2. Successful Exit and Retentions to PSH: 98%

TOPIC: Exits to Known destinations

- a. HP 98%
- b. SO 55%
- c. ES 78%
- d. SH - 97%
- e. TH - 88%
- f. RRH - 96%
- g. PSH - 96%

TOPIC: Data Quality: Exit to Known Destination

- h. Homelessness Prevention (HP) 98%
- i. Street Outreach (SO) 55%
- j. Emergency Shelter (ES) 78%
- k. Safe Haven (SH) 97%
- l. Transitional Housing (TH) 88%
- m. Rapid ReHousing (RRH) 96%
- n. Perm Supportive Housing (PSH) 96%

TOPIC: Data Quality % Missing Values

- o. 0% for all

TOPIC: Data Quality % Dont Know, Refused

- p. 5% for all