Date:			
	(Landlord's name and addr	ess)	
RE: Tenant's Response to Landlord's Notice of Termination of Tenancy			
Dear	(Landlord's name):		
I am currently	a tenant of the property located at	(Tenant's ac	ldress).
You gave me i and told me I l	notice ofhad to move out of the property by	on	(date)
	at you gave me is defective/will not support all that apply):	an action for unlawful detainer for	or the following
Ordina action because the about		ance No. NS-9.289, you may not a me that you are terminating my T/NO FAULT REASON. However minate my tenancy at this time beed by COVID due to the following chool closure  Tents me from working ter infected with COVID-19 see	pursue an tenancy ver, pursuant to ecause my
expens	termination Paycheck stubs from before and during to Bank statements showing financial situat Other proof(s) of substantial loss of inco UMENTATION FOR ITEMS SELECTED Yes No	as a reason for reduced work hour he COVID-19 pandemic tion before and during the COVII me:	rs or D-19 pandemic
Count	otice you served me during the COVID-19 by Ordinance No. NS-9/287, as extended by ance No. NS-9.289 because it did not inclu-	Ordinance No. NS-9.288, and ar	

	<ul> <li>□ A reason for the termination of tenancy</li> <li>□ Notice of Tenant's rights under Santa Clara County's Ordinance No. NS-9.287, as extended by Ordinance No. NS-9.288 and amended by Ordinance No. NS-9.289</li> <li>□ Notice of emergency rental assistance programs.</li> </ul>	
H 1	The method of service of the notice you gave me did not comply with California Code of Civil Procedure sections 1162 or 1946.1, which requires you to give the notice to the tenant personally, eaving it with another person and mailing a copy to the tenant; affixing it to the premises and elivering a copy to the tenant; or sending a copy by certified or registered mail.	
	You did not provide me with written notice of termination. <i>See</i> California Code of Civil Procedure section 1946.1(a).	
Because the notice you gave me is invalid, I will not be moving out. Failure to comply with Santa Clara County's Ordinance No. NS-9.287, as extended by Ordinance No. NS-9.288 and amended by Ordinance No. NS-9.289 is an affirmative defense to an unlawful detainer case. If you continue to attempt to recover possession or recover possession of residential real property in violation of Santa Clara County's Ordinance No. NS-9.287, as extended by Ordinance No. NS-9.288 and amended by Ordinance No. NS-9.289, retaliate against me for exercising my rights, or attempt to prevent me from acquiring any rights, the aforementioned ordinance permits me to institute a civil proceeding for injunctive relief, money damages up to three times the amount of actual damages, including damages for mental or emotional distress, and attorney's fees and costs.		
I have a l	tept a copy of this letter for my records.	
Sincerely	,	
Name: Signature:		