Performance Management Work Group

Thurs, April 5, 2018 - 1:30pm-2:30pm Sobrato Conference Center, Milpitas 600 Valley Way, Room 1 Milpitas, CA 95035

Agenda

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. CoC Updates
- 3. CoC System Performance Measures Review
- 4. CoC Performance Measures and Benchmarks for FY2017-18

1 - Welcome and Introductions

2 - CoC Updates

1. Coordinated Assessment - no major changes

3 - CoC System Performance Measures Review: Overview

- 1. Review of items trending in right direction
 - a. Average Length of Time Homeless for ES and TH
 - b. Returns to homelessness
 - c. Income (stayers)
 - d. Housing retention
 - e. Housing placements
 - f. Connected to health insurance
- 2. Areas for potential improvement
 - a. Average length of time homeless ES only
 - b. Median length of time homeless for ES only and ES/TH combined
 - c. Annual counts of sheltered homeless persons
 - d. Inflow of first time homeless
 - e. Income (leavers)
 - f. Exits to PH destinations
- 3. In July, start planning ahead for FY18-19 benchmarks

3 - CoC System Performance Measures Review: Deep Dive (see slides for outcome information)

- 1. % Clients Connected to Health Insurance trending in the right direction
 - a. RRH above the 90% goal, PSH a little below the 90% goal (80% for CY 2017)
 - b. Discussion about PSH outcomes
 - i. How is PSH data pulling?
 - 1. For CCP, use service transaction
 - 2. For others, use enrollment/status/exit information

- ii. May be a data issue make sure agencies know how to track data, there may be some data missing
 - 1. See if this improves outcome
- iii. Issue with mail forwarding due to policy, clients at 80 S Market and other service providers need reach out to whoever they are connected to for health insurance to give them their address if they move into permanent housing
 - 1. Let CCP case managers know
- iv. Is there a citizenship requirement for the PSH projects? Shelter programs have experienced difficulty in connecting clients because of this
 - 1. Is there a decline in shelter connections to health insurance?
- 2. How many clients exit Rapid Re-Housing without a Housing Move-In Date?
 - a. This topic is a follow-up from a question raised in the previous meeting
 - b. Majority of households exited with a move-in date
 - i. About 9% exited to a permanent destination with no move-in date
 - 1. Mostly families
 - 2. Some households exited to PH without a subsidy in this case, enter Housing Move-In Date at exit
 - ii. 31% exited without a move-in date to non-permanent destination
 - c. Exits to non-PH destinations
 - i. The Work Group reviewed destination types, length of stay, when exits were occurred (spike in Sept due to mass-exit for one program)
 - ii. Many destinations were Data Not Collected, for families many were temporary stay with family/friends
 - 1. Some disappear
 - 2. Some move out to other opportunities but aren't housed at exit
 - 3. For individuals, a high number of clients go to transitional housing (for bridge housing) and may not want to leave not necessarily a bad option
 - iii. Did clients increase their income during their RRH enrollment?
 - iv. Look at different categories (SSVF, CalWORKS, Veterans, families, youth, singles)
 - 1. OSH did a data review of RRH families were most successful
 - v. Clients may be going back to jail
 - vi. Clients may be rejecting units more than 3 times, or are paranoid about moving in (SMI)
 - vii. How long do agencies wait before they exit a client due to no contact?
 - 1. Varies
 - 2. About 30 days
 - 3. After 3 attempts with no contact
 - 4. In some cases, turns into a non-compliance issue where the client only is contactable sporadically
 - d. Should we do anything differently?

- i. How many touch points does it take to get someone housed? There are many re-enrollments over time where clients were not ready to be housed the first time.
 - 1. Willing to engage in services during peak crisis and less engaged when stable
 - 2. Do clients give up if they have trouble finding housing? Or because they dislike the housing options they can afford.
- ii. If changing policies (e.g. if tying it to NOFA)
 - 1. Look at those that were housed and outcomes of those people vs those that agencies didn't have a chance to house
 - a. Many exits with no move-in date are early (length of stay less than 90 days)
- iii. General guidelines on what to do if clients keep rejecting housing opportunities

4 - CoC Performance Measures and Benchmarks for FY2017-18: Set Income Target for RRH Projects

- 3. Need to set a benchmark using one of the potential options:
 - a. Living Wage based off of County's Living Wage Ordinance
 - b. Minimum Wage
 - c. MIT Living Wage Calculator uses a complex formula to calculate
 - d. 30% of income base on FMR from HUD, many people in the County spend more than 30% of their income on rent
 - e. Self-Sufficiency Wage for California same as MIT calculator, seemed difficult to administer
 - f. Other options?
- 4. Recommendation
 - a. SCC Living Wage use lowest rate of "with both health and retirement benefits" -\$2,851/month
 - b. Set a goal of xx% of clients will reach a monthly income of \$2,851/month
 - c. Conduct baseline analysis and set a target for FY18-19
 - d. Discussion/feedback
 - i. Have a target for families vs individuals (and what is the average family size may affect the target)
 - ii. Look at where clients are now
 - iii. Meant to be a system-wide measure so want a baseline / not too complex
 - iv. Set a baseline but expect families to perform better