## Santa Clara County Mental Health Department Housing Development Goals/Status Update

MHSA Housing Program Resources to be Allocated.................................Housing Plus Fund Resources to be Allocated

Total.....\$19,249,300

Total.....\$4,000,000....Construction/Rehab Costs only

Construction	
Costs	<b>Operational Subsidies</b>
\$12,832,900	\$6,416,400

Maximum capital per unit cost = \$100,000/unit Maximum operating subsidy/unit = \$100,000/unit

#### Potential Target/Goal Areas for MHSA Housing Funds

Need to balance allocating funds in a timely fashion and working toward ensuring a fair distribution of the resources across various categories or domains:

- 1) Geography
- 2) Target Population (Age)
- 3) Rental Units vs. Shared Developments
- 4) Funds Dispersed.....MHSA Housing Program: Current Unit Goals/Built by Region, Age and Unit Size
- 5) Funds Dispersed.....MHSA Housing Program and Housing Plus Fund: Current Unit Goals/Built by Region, Age and Unit Size
- 6) Number of people to be housed over a 20-year period
- 1) Geography (Data from U.S. Census 2000, Santa Clara County Homeless Census and Survey (January 2007) and MHD *Unicare* database)...Data is arranged according to the following areas:

North County	Cupertino, Los Alto	s, Los Altos Hills, Milpitas.	, Mountain Viev	w, Palo Alto, Sunnyvale
Central County	_	_		
~ ~		<u></u>	,	$\mathcal{C}$

South County......Gilroy, Morgan Hill, San Martín

Chart # 1

Data Category	Santa Clara County (Total)	North* County	Central* County	South* County	Other
U.S. Census – Population (2000)	1,682,585	418,392	1,028,124	96,943	N/A
	(100%)	(25%)	(61%)	(6%)	
Community Homeless Children,	7,202	1,062	5,341	799	N/A
Youth, and Adults (1/2007)	(100%)	(14.7%)	(74.2%)	(11.1%)	
Community Homeless Youth	246	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
under 18 (1/2007)	(5%)				
Community Homeless Mentally Ill	1,889	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
(1/2007)	(26%)				
**Mentally Ill served in MHD:	22,982	3,515	14,857	1,281	3,329
County+Contractors (1/06-12/06)	(100%)	(15%)	(65%)	(6%)	(14%)
***Mentally Ill served in MHD:	8,773	1,581	6,156	697	339
County+Contractors (10/1/08)	(100%)	(18%)	(70.2%)	(7.9%)	(3.9%)

<sup>\*</sup>Census figures are lacking from County area totals: 139,126 (8.3%).

## 2) Target Population: Age (California DMH – Serious Emotional Disturbance and Serious Mental Illness, <200% of Federal Poverty Level, Prevalence Estimates, 2000): January 1-December 31, 2006

Chart # 2

Age	CA DMH:	Santa Clara	**Santa Clara	North	Central	South	Other*
	SED+SMI	County:	County:	County*	County*	County*	
	1-Yr Total	1-Yr Total	1-Day Total*				
0-15 yrs	311,428 (33%)	7,322 (28%)	1,849 <b>(21%)</b>	317 (20%)	1,243 (20%)	219 (31%)	70 (21%)
16-25 yrs	194,779 (20%)	5,622 (22%)	1,320 <b>(15%)</b>	254 (16%)	891 (15%)	111 (16%)	64 (19%)
26-59 yrs	370,216 (39%)	10,304 (40%)	4,557 <b>(52%)</b>	819 (52%)	3,264 (53%)	294 (42%)	180 (53%)
60+ yrs	76,764 (8%)	2,640 (10%)	1,047 <b>(12%)</b>	191 (12%)	758 (12%)	73 (11%)	25 (7%)
Total	953,188 (100%)	25,888 (100%)	8,773 (100%)	1,581 (18%)	6,156 (70%)	697 (8%)	339 (4%)

<sup>\*</sup>The 1-day total of clients who were open and received a service on October 1, 2008 was taken from *Unicare*.

<sup>\*\*</sup>This one-year total is from *Unicare*: the whole system (including EPS, BAP); duplication issues (not closing clients)

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>This one-day total is from *Unicare*: the whole system: including clients who were open and received a service on that day. The percentages in bold are transferred to Charts # 6 and # 9, the 3<sup>rd</sup> column from the left.

<sup>\*\*</sup>These percentages are transferred to Charts # 7 and # 11, the 3<sup>rd</sup> column from the left.

#### 3) Rental Units vs. Shared Housing Development

- Several research studies on housing for people with mental illness show strong preferences for having their own apartment and having choice about those with whom one lives with in one's housing.
- Shared housing models can facilitate consumer choice. However, many housing developers and property managers have moved away from developing shared housing models.
- According to 2000 U.S. Census data, the average number of persons per household in Santa Clara County was 2.92.
- a) Below is a list of the general housing types occupied by mental health consumers (N=22,982) from  $\frac{1/1/06}{12/31/06}$  (*Unicare*).

Chart #3

Housing Type	Santa Clara County	North County	Central County	South County	Other
Acute care	679 (3%)	82 (2%)	412 (3%)	37 (3%)	149 (4%)
Group or shared housing	9,527 (41%)	1,696 (48%)	6,690 (45%)	675 (53%)	466 (14%)
Licensed residential	3,288 (14%)	592 (17%)	2,315 (16%)	249 (19%)	132 (4%)
(board and care)					
Transitional/treatment housing	1,319 (6%)	172 (5%)	925 (6%)	94 (7%)	128 (4%)
Jail/Prison	1,141 (5%)	73 (2%)	286 (2%)	27 (2%)	755 (23%)
Homeless	884 (4%)	71 (2%)	568 (4%)	20 (2%)	225 (7%)
Other	6,144 (27%)	829 (24%)	3,661 (25%)	180 (14%)	1,474 (44%)
Total	22,982 (100%)	3,515 (15%)	14,857 (65%)	1,281 (6%)	3,329 (14%)

b) Consumer Survey Results: Those 562 consumers who responded (October 1-17, 2007) to a survey on housing indicated:

Chart # 4

<b>Unit Size</b>	<b>Unit Size Preference</b>		
SRO's/Studios	214 (38%)*		
1-bedrooms	255 <b>(45%)</b> *		
2-bedrooms	0 (0%)*		
Shared Housing rooms	166 <b>(30%)</b> *		
Totals	635 (113%)		

<sup>\*</sup>These percentages are transferred to Charts # 8 and # 12, the 3<sup>rd</sup> column from the left. They are adjusted because of 2<sup>nd</sup> survey results.

Totals don't add to **562/100%** because individuals indicated >1 choice.

c) Consumer Survey Results: Those 856 consumers who responded (September 1-26, 2008) to a survey on Permanent Supportive Shared Housing indicated:

Chart # 5

Topic	Responses
Interested in Shared Housing	505 (59%)
Not Interested in Shared Housing	351 (41%)
Average Shared Housing info from 2 surveys	44%*

<sup>\*</sup>This percentage will be tested by a series of focus groups after our first shared housing project is completed.

d) Survey of Agencies serving homeless youth and families, <u>having at least one mentally ill member</u>: #'s served last calendar year. Chart # 6

Agency	Youth/Families	2 members	3 members	4 members	5 or more members
Community Technology Alliance (CTA)	Families	26	12	18	17
Bill Wilson Center	Youth	11	3	0	0
Family Supportive Housing	Families	3 (1 P+1 C)	2 (1 P+2 C)	2 (2 P+2 C)	0 (0 P+0 C)
Silicon Valley Independent Living Center*	Families	17	8	4	2
Size of Units to be Developed		1 bedroom	2 bedroom	3 bedroom	4 bedroom
**Goals: # of units/size		22	10	10	10

P = parent; C = child

### 4) Funds Dispersed: MHSA Housing Program: Current Unit Goals/Committed by Region, Age & Unit Size

Geographic

Chart #7

Region	Funding To Date (% of funds allocated to date)	Unit Goal by Region* (In proportion to MH population)		Units Built by Region to Date (% of Total Unit Goal realized to date)		% of Unit Goal by Region realized to date
North County	\$1,872,000 (20%)	23	(19.3%)	18	(15%)	78%
Central County	\$3,900,000 (43%)	86	(71.5%)	19	(16%)	22%
South County	\$3,400,000 (37%)	11	(9.2%)	17	(14%)	155%
Totals	\$9,172,000(100%)	120	(100%)	54	(45%)	(54/120) 45%

<sup>\*</sup>Eliminating the "other" category meant adding 1.3% to the percentages of the other three goal areas.

<sup>\*</sup>These stats are not included in those provided by CTA. \*\*Goals are an average of CTA+SVILC's stats.

Age-Restricted Chart # 8

Age Group Restriction	Funding To Date (% of funds allocated to date)	Unit Goal by Age (In proportion to MH population)	Units Built by Age to Date (% of Total Unit Goal realized to date)	% of Unit Goal by Age realized to date
Children (0-15 yr)	\$0 (0%)	25 (21%)	0 (0%)	0%
Youth (16-25 yr)	\$1,075,000 (12%)	18 <b>(15%)</b>	5 (4%)	28%
Adults (26-59 yr)	\$5,675,000 (62%)	63 (52%)	28 (23%)	44%
Older Adults (60+ yr)	\$2,422,000 (26%)	14 <b>(12%)</b>	21 (18%)	150%
Totals	\$9,172,000 (100%)	120 (100%)	54 (45%)	(54/120) 45%

Unit Size Chart # 9

Ze Charl # 9				
<b>Unit Size</b>	Funding To Date	Unit Goal by Unit	Units Built by Size to	% of Unit Goal
	(% of funds	Size (In proportion to	Date (% of Total Unit	by Size
	allocated to date)	MH expressed need)^	Goal realized to date)	realized to date
SRO's/Studios	\$4,600,000 (50%)	26 <b>(22%)</b>	23 (19%)	89%
1 bedroom	\$4,364,000 (48%)	38 (32%)	29 (24%)	76%
2 bedrooms	\$208,000 (2%)	10 (8%)	2* (2%)	20%
3 bedrooms	\$0 (0%)	10 (8%)	0 (0%)	0%
4 bedrooms	\$0 (0%)	10 (8%)	0 (0%)	0%
Shared Housing rooms	\$0 (0%)	26 <b>(22%)</b>	0 (0%)	0%
Totals	\$9,172,000 (100%)	120 (100%)	54 (45%)	(54/120) 45%

<sup>^</sup> Per Consumer Survey (October 2007)....Percentages were adjusted to equal 100%; individuals had indicated >1 choice The goal percentages for Studios, 1 bedrooms and shared housing were reduced by 8% due to the goals for 2-4 bedroom units.

\*These units are specified as follows: 2 at Sunnyvale Senior Housing Project.

# 5) Funds Dispersed: MHSA Housing Program+Housing Plus Fund: Current Unit Goals/Committed by Region, Age & Unit Size

Geographic

Chart # 10

Region	Funding To Date (% of funds allocated to date)	Unit Goal by Region* (In proportion to MH population)	Units Built by Region to Date (% of Total Unit Goal realized to date)	% of Unit Goal by Region realized to date
North County	\$1,872,000 (17%)	31 (19.3%)	18 (11%)	58%
Central County	\$6,050,000 (53%)	116 (71.5%)	61 (38%)	53%
South County	\$3,400,000 (30%)	15 <b>(9.2%)</b>	17 (10%)	113%
Totals	\$11,322,000 (100%)	162 (100%)	96 (59%)	(96/162) 59%

<sup>\*</sup>Eliminating the "other" category meant adding 1.3% to the percentages of the other three goal areas.

Chart # 11

14,474 Open Clients(8/13/08)

Offact # 11		4,474 Open Gilents(0/13/00	7)	,
Central County Region	Funding To Date	<b>Unit Goal by Part</b>	Units Built in Part of	% of Unit Goal
	(% of funds	of Central Region	Central Region to Date	by Part of
	allocated to date)	(In proportion to	(% of Total C. Region	Central Region
		MH population)	Unit Goal realized to date)	realized to date
North SJ/SC/Monte Sereno/Saratoga	\$550,000 (9%)	7 (806 <b>6%</b> )	3 (3%)	43%
Central SJ/Downtown	\$3,350,000 (55%)	28 (3,498 <b>24%</b> )	48 (41%)	171%
South SJ/Coyote	\$0 (0%)	36 <b>(</b> 4,439 <b>31%)</b>	0 (0%)	0%
East SJ/Alum Rock	\$2,150,000 (36%)	34 (4,256 <b>29%</b> )	10 (9%)	29%
West SJ/Campbell/Los Gatos	\$0 (0%)	11 (1,47510%)	0 (0%)	0%
Totals	\$6,050,000 (100%)	116 (100%)	61 (53%)	(61/116) 53%

Age-Restricted

Chart # 12

Age Group Restriction	Funding To Date	<b>Unit Goal by Age</b>	Units Built by Age to	% of Unit Goal
	(% of funds	(In proportion to	Date	by Age
	allocated to date)	MH population)	(% of Total Unit Goal	realized to date
			realized to date)	
Children (0-15 yr)	\$0 (0%)	34 (21%)	0 (0%)	0%
Youth (16-25 yr)	\$1,075,000 (10%)	24 (15%)	5 (3%)	21%
Adults (26-59 yr)	\$7,825,000 (69%)	84 (52%)	70 (43%)	83%
Older Adults (60+ yr)	\$2,422,000 (21%)	20 (12%)	21 (13%)	105%
Totals	\$11,322,000 (100%)	162 (100%)	96 (59%)	(96/162) 59%

Unit	Size	Chart # 13

Unit Size	Funding To Date	Unit Goal by Unit	Units Built by Size to	% of Unit Goal
	(% of funds	Size (In proportion to	Date (% of Total Unit	by Size
	allocated to date)	MH expressed need)^	Goal realized to date)	realized to date
SRO's/Studios	\$5,950,000 (53%)	36 <b>(22%)</b>	50 (31%)	139%
1 bedroom	\$4,984,000 (44%)	52 <b>(32%)</b>	41 (25%)	79%
2 bedrooms	\$388,000 (3%)	13 <b>(8%</b> )	5* (3%)	39%
3 bedrooms	\$0 (0%)	13 (8%)	0 (0%)	0%
4 bedrooms	\$0 (0%)	13 (8%)	0 (0%)	0%
Shared Housing rooms	\$0 (0%)	35 (22%)	0 (0%)	0%
Totals	\$11,322,000(100%)	162 (100%)	96 (59%)	(96/162) 59%

<sup>^</sup> Per Consumer Survey (October 2007).... Percentages were adjusted to equal **100%**; individuals had indicated >1 choice. The goal percentages for Studios, 1 bedrooms and shared housing were reduced by 8% due to the goals for 2-4 bedroom units. \*These units are specified as follows: 2 at Sunnyvale Senior Housing Project and 3 at Kings Crossing.

## **Projection of Housing Units Under Development** (Hillview Glen)

Geographic Chart # 14

Region	Funding To Date (% of funds allocated to date)	Unit Goal by Region*	Units Projected by Region to Date (% of Total Unit Goal Projected to date)	% of Unit Goal by Region Projected to date
North County	\$1,872,000 (13%)	31 (19.3%)	18 (11%)	58%
Central County	\$8,850,000 (63%)	116 (71.5%)	75 (46%)	65%
South County	\$3,400,000 (24%)	15 <b>(9.2%)</b>	17 (11%)	113%
Totals	\$14,122,000 (100%)	162 (100%)	110 (68%)	(110/162) 68%

<sup>\*</sup>Eliminating the "other" category meant adding 1.3% to the percentages of the other three goal areas.

Age-Restricted Chart # 15 \* 34 (100% of goal) of the units designated for adults are open to children/families.

		31 (10070 of godi)	oj ine unus aesiznatea joi a	and open to em
Age Group	<b>Funding To Date</b>	<b>Unit Goal by</b>	<b>Units Projected by</b>	% of Unit Goal
Restriction	(% of funds	Age	Age to Date	by Age
	Projected to date)		(% of Total Unit Goal	Projected to
			Projected to date)	date
Children (0-15 yr)	\$0 (0%)	34 (21%)	0* (0%)	100%*
Youth (16-25 yr)	\$1,075,000 (8%)	24 (15%)	5 (3%)	21%
Adults (26-59 yr)	\$10,625,000 (75%)	84 (52%)	84 (52%)	100%
Older Adults (60+ yr)	\$2,422,000 (17%)	20 (12%)	21 (13%)	105%
Totals	\$14,122,000 (100%)	162 (100%)	110 (68%)	(110/162) 68%

Unit Size Chart # 16

Unit Size	Funding To Date (% of funds Projected to date)	Unit Goal by Unit Size	Units Projected by Size to Date (% of Total Unit Goal	% of Unit Goal by Size Projected to
	,		Projected to date)	date
SRO's/Studios	\$5,950,000 (42%)	36 (22%)	50 (31%)	139%
1 bedroom	\$7,184,000 (51%)	52 <b>(32%)</b>	52 (32%)	100%
2 bedrooms	\$988,000 (7%)	13 (8%)	8* (5%)	62%
3 bedrooms	\$0 (0%)	13 (8%)	0 (0%)	0%
4 bedrooms	\$0 (0%)	13 (8%)	0 (0%)	0%
Shared Housing rooms	\$0 (0%)	35 (22%)	0 (0%)	0%
Totals	\$14,122,000 (100%)	162 (100%)	110 (68%)	(110/162) 68%

The goal percentages for Studios, 1 bedrooms and shared housing were reduced by 8% due to the goals for 2-4 bedroom units.

\*Assumes that 3 units at Hillview Glen will be 2-bedroom units.

### 6) Number of people to be housed over a 20-year period

Chart # 17

Fund	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	Yrs	TTL
	1-5	5-10	11-15	16-20	☺
MHSA*	120	same	same	same	120
HPF**	42	same	same	same	42
Vacancy	8	8	8	8	32
Rate***					
TOTAL	170	170	170	170	194

The numbers listed above are based on having all the units come on line at the same time and that there are single individuals housed in all the units. So, this is a very conservative number.

<sup>\*</sup> Mental Health Services Act Housing Program: Goal 120 units over 3.5 years.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Housing Plus Fund: Goal 42 units

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Housing industry standard is 5% turnover/year. The number listed annually is that percentage of the # of people housed in the units.

<sup>©</sup> This total is arrived at by adding the number of unduplicated individuals in housing (assuming capacity) and only varies by the number of clients that are affected by the vacancy rate.