

PMWG Meeting Notes

4/15/2021

- I. Introductions
- II. Background on PMWG
- III. Data Shown for 2020 Calendar year
- IV. Setting benchmarks for year 2021-2022
- V. Completed
 - A. Returns to homelessness
 - B. Reduction in homeless
 - C. Reducing first time homeless
 - D. Housing Placement
- VI. Looking at
 - A. Housed Clients Covered by Health Insurance**
 - 1. **Keep it 95% (Hunter)**
 - 2. Circumstances where someone would not have health insurance (Leila)
 - a) Immigration Status (Hunter)
 - 3. Look at more detail to see what the UNKNOWN values are and see the breakdown (Trevor)
 - 4. **Keep it 95% (Leila)**
 - 5. Is there anything that would be helpful to look at for PSH numbers in the future
 - a) Population we work with/easier to tell a RRH client to sign up where there are more challenges with PSH (Hunter)
 - b) Looking at the Vli-SPDAT and if it correlates with Health Insurance (Steven)
 - B. Housing Income and Employment**
 - 1. Does this include HUD VASH and does RRH include SSVF (Hunter)
 - 2. Breakdown of income for those that have it and comparing it against their answers to the disability question (Hunter); these can be barriers at higher income
 - 3. Breakdown and look at subpopulations and see who is meeting this (Trevor) Is this something needed to set the benchmark
 - 4. Are there any notes that reflect why they were set so high (Hunter)
 - 5. Makes sense to do a deeper dive; maybe encourage folks to be utilizing programs that we have (Steven) or what is being used
 - 6. SOAR - not likely used in SCC, as an example (Hunter) a population that is not accessing these types of resources
 - 7. Let's review next month and see why it is so low; and see if others qualify for disability assistance (Trevor)

8. Report that is helpful; those enrolled in programs that say no to disability question but say yes to SSI - and filtering out those that are employed (Hunter)
9. **Housed Adults: Increasing the benchmark (RRH): 25% and 18%**
 - a) Change measure to look at each population separately and would need a new number to set the benchmark - we could look at this next time (Trevor)
 - b) Increase to 25% the households; (Laura) and b/c we did not meet the other keep the 18%**
 - c) Coming back to these at a future meeting to refine methodology and adjust the amount that we are using (\$)

10. Data Quality PM

- a) Exit to Known Destination
 - (1) HP = **98%**
 - (2) SO = **65%**
 - (3) ES = Review after getting more detailed data (COVID)
 - (4) SH = **95%**
 - (5) TH= **95%**
 - (6) RRH= **98%**
 - (7) PSH= **98%**
- b) ES is a significant increase; is it as a result of the pandemic and pushing the benchmark too high may not be a good idea? (Laura)
- c) Comeback to this one and breakdown the numbers to see more of the details (Trevor)

11. Missing or Unknown UDE

- a) No adjustments needed (Laura)

12. Successful Placement and Retention - Review

- a) OK - good to go

13. Return to Homelessness - Review

- a) OK - good to go

VII. Next Meeting - June; Meet in May?

1. Trevor to send out a reminder
2. Thanks!